

# PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER						
IGT1P211.WO ACTION as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No.	International filing date (day/monti	r/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US2006/005455	14/02/2006		22/02/2005				
Applicant	11/02/2000	1	22/02/2005				
			•				
IGT							
7							
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this International Searc Insmitted to the International Bureau	hing Author	ity and is transmitted to the applicant				
This international search report consists o							
	a copy of each prior art document of		eport.				
Basis of the report     a. With regard to the language, the	nternational coarch was serviced and						
	pplication in the language in which		s or:				
a translation of the	international application into		, which is the language				
	nished for the purposes of internation		(Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))				
b. With regard to any nucleo	tide and/or amino acld sequence	disclosed in	n the international application, see Box No. I.				
2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box No. II)						
3. Unity of invention is lack	ding (see Box No III)						
With regard to the title,							
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant						
the text has been establish	ned by this Authority to read as follo	ws:					
With regard to the abstract,							
X the text is approved as sul	writted by the applicant						
the text has been establish	ed according to Bule 38 3/h) by th	ie Authorite	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant				
may, within one month from	n the date of mailing of this internat	ional search	report, submit comments to this Authority				
6. With regard to the drawings.							
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No3a							
X as suggested by the applicant							
as selected by this	Authority, because the applicant fa	iled to sugg	est a figure				
as selected by this	as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention						
b. none of the figures is to be							

## INTE IATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

emational application No PCT/US2006/005455

A CLASSI	ECATION OF CUID IFOT MATTER				
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. G07F17/32					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS	SEARCHED				
GO7F	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classificat	ion symbols)			
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included in the fields s	earched		
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, search terms used	i)		
EP0-In	terna1				
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	levant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
х	EP 1 482 459 A (WMS GAMING INC) 1 December 2004 (2004-12-01) abstract		1-54		
	paragraphs [0002], [0006], [001 [0016] - [0021], [0037], [0043 [0047], [0049] paragraphs [0066], [0067]				
X	US 2003/190944 A1 (MANFREDI VINCI AL) 9 October 2003 (2003-10-09) paragraphs [0007], [0009], [007]	1-54			
х	W0 2004/056432 A (TECHLINK INTER ENTERTAINMENT LIMITED; XIDOS, JOI MACKENZIE,) 8 July 2004 (2004-07- abstract page 1, line 5 - line 7 page 2, line 25 - line 31	HN:	1-54		
Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent family annex.			
"A" docume consid "E" earlier of filing d "L" docume which citation "O" docume other r "P" docume later th	nt which may throw doubts on priority, claim(s) or is cled to establish the publication date of a nother or other special reason (as specified) ant elerring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means multiplicate prior to the international filing date but an the priority date claimed	Tile ster document published after the international liling date of priority date and not in conflict with the application but in conflict with the application but invention consisted on the protein or theory underlying the invention cannot be consisted on the cannot be consisted or control or annot be consisted or control or annot be consisted or over or annot be consisted to over or annotation or over or over other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the act.  To document in order such as annotation or over order such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the act.			
Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of mailing of the international search report					
	June 2006 nailing address of the ISA/	14/06/2006 Authorized officer			
	European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-2016	Verhoef, P			

### INTE IATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

PCT/US2006/005455

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 1482459	A	01-12-2004	AU CA	2004202318 2468438		16-12-2004 28-11-2004
US 2003190944	A1	09-10-2003	AU CA GB WO ZA	2003233486 2444172 2400224 03084623 200307671	A1 A A1	20-10-2003 03-10-2003 06-10-2004 16-10-2003 01-10-2004
WO 2004056432	A	08-07-2004	AU CA EP MX US US	2003294527 2510453 1573684 PA05006498 2004121841 2005064938	A1 A2 A A1	14-07-2004 08-07-2004 14-09-2005 23-11-2005 24-06-2004 24-03-2005

# PATENT COOPERATION TRATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:				PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailin	ng ear) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second she	et)	
see	icant's or agent's fi form PCT/ISA/	220		FOR FURT	THER ACTION th 2 below		
PC	national application	55	International filing date ( 14.02.2006		Priority date (day/month/year) 22.02.2005		
INV	national Patent Cla . G07F17/32	assification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
Appl IGT	cant						
1.	This opinion of	contains indicati	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the o	oinion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty,	inventive step and industrial applicat	oility	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of					
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned star applicability; c	ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> : itations and explanation	s.1(a)(i) with re- s supporting su	gard to novelty, inventive step or indi	ustrial ·	
	□ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited				
	☐ Box No. VII		s in the international app				
	☐ Box No. VII	I Certain observer	ations on the internation	nal application			
2.	FURTHER AC	TION					
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notled the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
		f mailing of Form			of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to endments, before the expiration of 3 of 22 months from the priority date,	o months	
	For further opti	ons, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.				
3.	For further deta	ails, see notes to l	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name	and mailing addr	ess of the ISA;	Date of co	ompletion of	Authorized Officer	gara televisia.	
			. 5818 Patentlaage form		Verhoef, P	(10)	
_	Tel. +31	70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3 70 340 - 3016	1 651 eponi PCT/ISA/	110		∖ ખું	
	1 an. +31	,0 540 - 3010	:		Telephone No. +31 70 340-4235	******	

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2006/005455

_	BO	CNO	5.1 Basis of the opinion		
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	⊠	the	international application in the language in which it was filed		
		a t pu	ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the rposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).		
2.	With	h re ess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. t	ype	of material:		
	1		a sequence listing		
	1		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. fe	orm	at of material:		
	1		on paper		
	1		in electronic form		
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:		
	1		contained in the international application as filed.		
	1	_	filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
	1	]	fumished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.		co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ples is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.		

4. Additional comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2006/005455

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule		
	plicability; citations and explanat		

#### 1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-54
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-54
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-54

#### 2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Available state of the art

The following documents, D1, D2 and D3, may be referred to in this communication; the notation below will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

- D1: EP-A-1 482 459 (WMS GAMING INC) 1 December 2004 (2004-12-01)
- D2: US 2003/190944 A1 (MANFREDI VINCENT S ET AL) 9 October 2003 (2003-10-09)
- D3: WO 2004/056432 A (TECHLINK INTERNATIONAL ENTERTAINMENT LIMITED; XIDOS, JOHN; MACKENZIE.) 8 July 2004 (2004-07-08)

#### 2. Inventive step - Articles 33(1) and 33(3) PCT

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claims 1 - 54 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

2.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document);

A gaming machine (please cf. §41 - §52 and figure 2) comprising a controller (implicit, but see also §20, §65), an input mechanism for inputting cash (implicit, but see also §43), an output mechanism for outputting the cash (implicit, but see also §7), a memory for storing software for generating a harm minimization interface (please cf. §37, '..., harm minimization configuration, ..., may reside directly on memory devices, within the gaming machine.'), a first display device for displaying the harm minimization interface (please cf. §37, '...the feature options may also be executed by the servers, and displayed on the gaming machine. Therefore, the gaming machine has a display for displaying such information. The fact that the feature defines 'a first display' implies that more than one display in available at the gaming machine, this is however also known from document D1, see for instance §6, disclosing '...more than

one display may be provided on the gaming machine.').

The subject matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known disclosure in that:

 the stored software has instructions to help the player to adhere to one or more game limits.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as:

"how to limit the chance that a player does not exceed a gaming limit".

This problem is considered to be non-technical. In **T0641/00 (OJ EPO, 2003, 352)** the board concluded that "...where a feature cannot be considered as contributing to the solution of any technical problem by providing a technical effect it has no significance for the purpose of assessing inventive step." (emphasis added).

Therefore, the subject matter of independent claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 2.2 Even, were it conceeded that the problem is technical, then still the solution was known in the art, see for instance document D2, §24. The person skilled in the art would program the device of document D1 accordingly, and would still arrive at the subject matter of independent claim 1, without having to exercise any inventive skills.
- 2.3 The subject matter of the other independent claim, method claim 38, is similar to the matter defined by claim 1 and differs only with respect to the category of the claim. In relation to the subject matter of independent claim 38, the argumentation presented above (§2.1 2.2 supra) will be applied per analogiam.

In consequence, also the subject matter of independent claim 38 is obvious in the light of document D1 and D2 so that the patentability requirement of inventive step is not met as is required by the Articles 33(1) and 33(3) PCT.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2006/005455

2.4 The dependent claims do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, because their definition comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by the persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. They present no surprising or unexpected effect to the person skilled in the art, since the known devices are being employed in a conventional manner. Consequently, the subject matter of these dependent claims also lack an inventive step (see documents D1 - D3 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report).